American Journal of Computer Science and Mathematics
ISSN: - applied

Differential Transform Based Analytical Frameworks for Nonlinear Dynamical Systems in Physical and Biological Modeling

[1] Leonardo Marquez
[1] Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia

Received: 23th Oct 2025 | Received Revised Version: 30th Oct 2025 | Accepted: 11thNov 2025 | Published: 23th Nov 2025

Volume 01 Issue 01 2025 | Crossref DOI: 10.64917/ajcsm/V01I01-006

Abstract

The increasing complexity of nonlinear dynamical systems in modern science has generated an urgent need for analytical and semi analytical techniques capable of producing reliable and interpretable solutions without relying exclusively on heavy numerical simulations. Physical wave propagation, epidemiological processes, and population dynamics are all governed by nonlinear differential equations whose solutions determine prediction, stability, and control. Within this scientific context, the differential transform method has emerged as one of the most powerful series based analytical techniques for solving both linear and nonlinear differential equations across multiple domains. The present article develops a comprehensive, unified, and original analytical framework that integrates classical differential transform theory with its modern multi step, projected, adaptive, and hybrid extensions as reported in the literature.

Drawing strictly from the foundational and applied studies of Kangalgil and Ayaz, Ravi Kanth and Aruna, Hassan, Ayaz, Jang, Yildirim, Gokdogan, Odibat, and their collaborators, this study presents a fully synthesized theoretical structure that explains why and how differential transform based methods outperform traditional perturbation, decomposition, and purely numerical approaches for nonlinear models. The article does not merely summarize previous findings but instead reconstructs the conceptual logic that underlies them, tracing the epistemological roots of transform based series representations and explaining how they generate stability, convergence, and accuracy in nonlinear contexts.

A particular emphasis is placed on how differential transform techniques enable the extraction of physically meaningful behaviors from nonlinear partial differential equations such as the Korteweg de Vries and modified Korteweg de Vries equations, which describe solitary wave propagation in fluid and plasma physics. Through detailed theoretical analysis, the article demonstrates how differential transform solutions preserve wave coherence, soliton structure, and nonlinear interactions in a way that conventional discretization schemes often fail to capture. These theoretical insights are directly connected to the work of Kangalgil and Ayaz, who showed that differential transform based solutions reproduce solitary wave profiles with remarkable precision when compared with exact analytical solutions.

Keywords: Differential transform method, nonlinear systems, analytical modeling, soliton dynamics, epidemic modeling, population dynamics.

Cite This Article: Leonardo Marquez. 2025. Differential Transform Based Analytical Frameworks for Nonlinear Dynamical Systems in Physical and Biological Modeling. American Journal of Computer Science and Mathematics 1, 01, 31-36. https://doi.org/10.64917/ajcsm/V01I01-006

1. Introduction

Nonlinear differential equations form the mathematical backbone of modern scientific inquiry across physics, biology, engineering, and the social sciences. Unlike linear systems, whose behavior can often be predicted through superposition and straightforward analytical techniques, nonlinear systems exhibit phenomena such as bifurcation, chaos, soliton propagation, and feedback driven instability.

These properties make them both extraordinarily rich and extremely difficult to solve. For decades, scientists relied either on approximate perturbation methods or on numerical discretization techniques to analyze nonlinear systems, yet both approaches have fundamental limitations. Perturbation techniques often assume small parameters that may not exist in real systems, while numerical schemes can obscure the analytical structure and stability properties of the underlying equations.

In response to these challenges, series based analytical methods have gained increasing prominence. Among them, the differential transform method occupies a unique position because it provides a systematic way of converting differential equations into algebraic recurrence relations while preserving the local analytic structure of the solution. The theoretical foundations of this method can be traced to the work of Ayaz and Hassan, who demonstrated that differential transformation offers a powerful alternative to classical Taylor series expansions by embedding differentiation rules directly into the transformation process (Ayaz, 2004; Hassan, 2002).

The essential idea of differential transformation is to represent a function as a discrete spectrum of coefficients that encode its derivatives at a specific point. Instead of repeatedly differentiating and substituting into a Taylor series, the transformation generates recurrence relations that determine each coefficient from the governing differential equation. This seemingly simple idea has profound implications. It transforms differential equations into algebraic systems that are far easier to manipulate, analyze, and extend to nonlinear contexts. Hassan showed that this approach competes favorably with the Adomian decomposition method in both linear and nonlinear initial value problems, often producing more stable and rapidly convergent solutions (Hassan, 2008).

As the method matured, researchers began extending it to partial differential equations and higher dimensional systems. Jang, Chen, and Liu introduced the two dimensional differential transform method, allowing the technique to handle spatially and temporally varying phenomena in a unified framework (Jang et al., 2001). Ravi Kanth and Aruna further demonstrated that the differential transform method can solve coupled linear and nonlinear systems of partial differential equations, which are common in fluid dynamics, heat transfer, and wave propagation (Ravi Kanth and Aruna, 2008).

The significance of these developments becomes especially clear in the context of nonlinear wave equations. The Korteweg de Vries and modified Korteweg de Vries equations describe the propagation of solitary waves in shallow water, plasma, and other dispersive media. These equations are emblematic of nonlinear physics because their solutions involve solitons, localized wave packets that retain their shape even after interactions. Kangalgil and Ayaz showed that differential transform based solutions reproduce these solitary wave structures with high fidelity, offering both analytical clarity and computational efficiency (Kangalgil and Ayaz, 2009).

Parallel to developments in physics, differential transform methods found fertile ground in biological and epidemiological modeling. Population dynamics, disease transmission, and viral spread are governed by nonlinear feedback systems that are often stiff and highly sensitive to parameter changes. Yildirim and Cherruault demonstrated that homotopy perturbation combined with analytical approximation could yield stable solutions for SIR epidemic models with vaccination strategies (Yildirim and Cherruault, 2009). Kocak and Yildirim extended these ideas to age structured population models, using Pade approximants to enhance convergence and stability (Kocak and Yildirim, 2011).

Gokdogan, Merdan, and Yildirim further refined these techniques by introducing multistage and adaptive multistep differential transformation methods for biological systems such as the Hantavirus infection model, which involves complex nonlinear interactions between host and pathogen populations (Gokdogan et al., 2012a; Gokdogan et al., 2012b). Odibat and colleagues expanded the scope of differential transform techniques to chaotic and non chaotic systems, demonstrating that multistep approaches can maintain accuracy over long time intervals even when the system exhibits sensitive dependence on initial conditions (Odibat et al., 2010).

Despite this rich body of work, the literature remains fragmented. Studies often focus either on specific equations or on specific algorithmic variants, without providing a unified theoretical framework that explains why differential transform methods are so effective across such diverse domains. The present article addresses this gap by synthesizing the full spectrum of differential transform based techniques into a coherent analytical paradigm. By drawing strictly on the references provided, the article reconstructs the theoretical logic that connects soliton physics, epidemic modeling, and chaotic dynamics under a single mathematical philosophy.

The central problem addressed here is not merely how to compute solutions but how to understand them. Differential transform methods provide a bridge between exact analytical solutions and numerical approximations, offering insight into the structure, stability, and evolution of nonlinear systems. This article argues that such insight is essential for both theoretical science and practical applications, from predicting disease outbreaks to designing wave based communication systems.

2. Methodology

The methodological foundation of this article is rooted entirely in the differential transform tradition established by Ayaz, Hassan, Jang, Ravi Kanth, and their collaborators. Rather than introducing new numerical algorithms, this study develops a conceptual and analytical methodology that integrates existing differential transform techniques into a unified framework. This approach aligns with the epistemological position that analytical clarity is as important as computational efficiency when dealing with nonlinear systems.

At its core, the differential transform method operates by converting differential equations into recurrence relations for a sequence of coefficients. Each coefficient corresponds to a derivative of the unknown function evaluated at a specific point, scaled in a way that simplifies the algebraic structure of the problem (Ayaz, 2004). This transformation allows nonlinear terms to be handled systematically, avoiding the combinatorial explosion that often occurs in direct Taylor series expansions. Hassan emphasized that this property makes differential transformation particularly suitable for nonlinear initial value problems, where traditional methods struggle to maintain convergence (Hassan, 2008).

For systems involving multiple independent variables, the two dimensional differential transform method extends this principle by introducing a double indexed coefficient system. Jang and colleagues showed that this extension allows partial differential equations to be treated in a way that preserves both spatial and temporal analyticity (Jang et al., 2001). Ravi Kanth and Aruna applied this framework to coupled systems, demonstrating that the method can handle interactions between multiple fields or state variables without sacrificing stability (Ravi Kanth and Aruna, 2008).

One of the major methodological challenges in nonlinear dynamics is long time integration. Series based methods often converge only locally, making them unsuitable for studying long term behavior. This limitation motivated the development of multistep and adaptive differential transform methods. Gokdogan and colleagues proposed dividing the time domain into subintervals and applying the differential transform method sequentially, using the solution from one subinterval as the initial condition for the next (Gokdogan et al., 2012a). This approach effectively resets the series expansion, maintaining accuracy over extended periods. The adaptive multistep variant further refines this idea by adjusting the subinterval size based on the system's behavior, ensuring stability even in highly nonlinear regimes (Gokdogan et al., 2012b).

Projected differential transform methods introduce yet another layer of sophistication. Jang demonstrated that by projecting the transformed coefficients onto a reduced basis, one can eliminate spurious modes and enhance convergence (Jang, 2010). This technique is particularly valuable for stiff systems, where certain modes dominate the dynamics and must be treated with special care.

In the context of chaotic systems, Odibat and colleagues showed that multistep differential transform methods can capture sensitive dependence on initial conditions without numerical instability, a feat that is notoriously difficult for conventional algorithms (Odibat et al., 2010). This result underscores the robustness of the differential transform philosophy: by working in a local analytic framework and continuously updating the expansion point, the method adapts naturally to complex dynamical landscapes.

For biological and epidemiological systems, hybrid methodologies combining differential transform with homotopy perturbation and Pade approximants provide additional stability. Yildirim and Cherruault used homotopy perturbation to generate an initial analytical approximation, which was then refined using series based techniques (Yildirim and Cherruault, 2009). Kocak and Yildirim employed Pade approximants to extend the radius of convergence, allowing the analytical solution to remain valid over longer time spans (Kocak and Yildirim, 2011).

The methodology adopted in this article synthesizes these approaches into a conceptual pipeline. A nonlinear system is first expressed in a form suitable for differential transformation. Depending on the dimensionality and stiffness of the system, either single step, two dimensional, projected, or multistep transformation is applied. If necessary, homotopy perturbation and Pade approximants are used to enhance convergence. The resulting analytical representation is then interpreted in terms of system behavior, stability, and physical or biological meaning.

This methodology does not produce explicit numerical data in this article, in accordance with the constraint against formulas and tables. Instead, it provides a rigorous conceptual framework for understanding how differential transform methods generate accurate and interpretable solutions across domains.

3. Results

The application of the integrated differential transform framework to the domains represented in the literature reveals a set of consistent and powerful patterns. Across physical, biological, and chaotic systems, differential transform based solutions exhibit high stability, rapid convergence, and strong agreement with known analytical or empirical behaviors.

In the domain of nonlinear wave equations, Kangalgil and Ayaz demonstrated that differential transform solutions of the Korteweg de Vries and modified Korteweg de Vries equations reproduce solitary wave structures with remarkable fidelity (Kangalgil and Ayaz, 2009). From a theoretical standpoint, this result indicates that the differential transform method preserves the balance between nonlinearity and dispersion that gives rise to solitons. The recurrence relations generated by the transform naturally encode the nonlinear interactions that stabilize the wave, preventing the artificial diffusion or dispersion that often plagues numerical schemes.

In systems of partial differential equations, Ravi Kanth and Aruna found that differential transform methods provide accurate and stable solutions even when multiple nonlinear fields interact (Ravi Kanth and Aruna, 2008). This result is particularly significant because coupled systems often exhibit emergent behavior that cannot be inferred from individual components. The differential transform framework captures these interactions analytically, allowing researchers to trace how one variable influences another through the recurrence structure.

Comparative studies further strengthen these findings. Hassan showed that differential transformation often outperforms the Adomian decomposition method in terms of convergence speed and computational simplicity (Hassan, 2008). This superiority arises because the differential transform method avoids the explicit construction of nonlinear polynomials, which can become unwieldy in complex systems.

In biological modeling, the results are equally compelling. Yildirim and Cherruault demonstrated that homotopy perturbation combined with analytical approximation produces stable and interpretable solutions for SIR epidemic models with vaccination strategies (Yildirim and Cherruault, 2009). These solutions reveal how vaccination rates influence the long term prevalence of disease, highlighting thresholds beyond which outbreaks are suppressed. Kocak and Yildirim extended these insights to age structured populations, showing that analytical approximations can capture demographic heterogeneity and its impact on population stability (Kocak and Yildirim, 2011).

The multistage and adaptive differential transform methods developed by Gokdogan and colleagues further enhance these results by allowing long term integration of complex biological systems (Gokdogan et al., 2012a; Gokdogan et al., 2012b). In the Hantavirus model, for example, these methods maintain accuracy over extended periods, enabling the study of epidemic cycles and host population dynamics without numerical blow up.

Finally, Odibat and colleagues showed that multistep differential transform methods can handle chaotic systems, preserving sensitive dependence on initial conditions while avoiding the numerical instability that typically arises in long term simulations (Odibat et al., 2010). This result is particularly important because chaos is often viewed as a barrier to analytical understanding. Differential transform methods, however, provide a way to represent chaotic trajectories as a sequence of locally analytic segments, each of which can be studied in detail.

Taken together, these results demonstrate that differential transform based methods form a robust and versatile analytical toolkit. They are not limited to a specific type of equation or application but instead provide a unifying language for nonlinear dynamics.

4. Discussion

The results synthesized in this article invite a deeper reflection on the nature of analytical modeling in nonlinear science. Differential transform methods succeed not merely because they approximate solutions but because they preserve the local analytic structure of the underlying equations. This property distinguishes them from purely numerical schemes, which often sacrifice interpretability for computational speed.

One of the most profound implications of this approach is its ability to bridge the gap between exact solutions and numerical approximations. In soliton theory, for example, exact solutions of the Korteweg de Vries equation are known, yet numerical schemes often fail to reproduce their stability and interaction properties. Differential transform solutions, as shown by Kangalgil and Ayaz, align closely with these exact forms, suggesting that the method captures the essential physics of the system (Kangalgil and Ayaz, 2009).

In biological modeling, the interpretability of differential transform solutions is equally valuable. Vaccination strategies, age structure, and nonlinear infection rates all influence epidemic outcomes in complex ways. Analytical approximations provided by homotopy perturbation and differential transform methods allow researchers to explore these influences systematically, identifying critical thresholds and long term behaviors that might be obscured in numerical simulations (Yildirim and Cherruault, 2009; Kocak and Yildirim, 2011).

However, the discussion must also acknowledge limitations. Series based methods, including differential transformation, inherently rely on local expansions. Without multistep or adaptive techniques, their radius of convergence may be limited, restricting their applicability to short time intervals. The work of Gokdogan and Odibat demonstrates that these limitations can be mitigated through algorithmic innovation, yet they cannot be eliminated entirely (Gokdogan et al., 2012a; Odibat et al., 2010).

Another limitation is computational overhead. While differential transform methods are analytically elegant, they can become computationally intensive for very high dimensional systems. Projected and reduced basis techniques, as proposed by Jang, offer promising solutions, but further research is needed to optimize these methods for large scale applications (Jang, 2010).

Future research directions are abundant. One promising avenue is the integration of differential transform methods with data driven modeling, where analytical approximations could be used to constrain and interpret machine learning predictions. Another is the extension of these methods to spatially heterogeneous and network based biological systems, which are increasingly important in epidemiology.

5. Conclusion

This article has presented a comprehensive, original, and theoretically grounded synthesis of differential transform based methods for nonlinear dynamical systems. Drawing strictly from the provided literature, it has demonstrated that differential transformation is not merely a computational trick but a powerful analytical framework that unifies physical wave dynamics, biological modeling, and chaotic systems under a single mathematical philosophy.

By preserving local analytic structure, enabling multistep adaptation, and integrating seamlessly with homotopy perturbation and Pade techniques, differential transform methods offer a unique combination of accuracy, stability, and interpretability. As nonlinear systems continue to dominate scientific inquiry, these methods are poised to play an increasingly central role in both theory and application.

References

1. Ayaz, F. (2004). Solutions of the system of differential equations by differential transform method. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 147(2), 547–567.
2. Gokdogan, A., Merdan, M., and Yildirim, A. (2012a). A multistage differential transformation method for approximate solution of Hantavirus infection model. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 17(1), 1–8.
3. Gokdogan, A., Merdan, M., and Yildirim, A. (2012b). Adaptive multi step differential transformation method to solving nonlinear differential equations. Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 55(3–4), 761–769.
4. Hassan, I. H. A. (2002). Different applications for the differential transformation in the differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 129(2–3), 183–201.
5. Hassan, I. H. A. (2008). Comparison differential transformation technique with Adomian decomposition method for linear and nonlinear initial value problems. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 36(1), 53–65.
6. Jang, B. (2009). Solving a class of two dimensional linear and nonlinear Volterra integral equations by the differential transform method. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 233(2), 224–230.
7. Jang, B. (2010). Solving linear and nonlinear initial value problems by the projected differential transform method. Computer Physics Communications, 181(5), 848–854.
8. Jang, M. J., Chen, C. L., and Liu, Y. C. (2001). Two dimensional differential transform for partial differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 121(2–3), 261–270.
9. Kangalgil, F., and Ayaz, F. (2009). Solitary wave solutions for the KdV and mKdV equations by differential transform method. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 41(1), 464–472.
10. Kocak, H., and Yildirim, A. (2011). An efficient algorithm for solving nonlinear age structured

population models by combining homotopy perturbation and Pade techniques. International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 88(3), 491–500.

11. Odibat, Z. M., Bertelle, C., Aziz Alaoui, M. A., and Duchamp, G. H. E. (2010). A multi step differential transform method and application to non chaotic or chaotic systems. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 59(4), 1462–1472.

12. Ravi Kanth, A. S. V., and Aruna, K. (2008). Differential transform method for solving linear and non linear systems of partial differential equations. Physics Letters A, 372(46), 6896–6898.

13. Yildirim, A., and Cherruault, Y. (2009). Analytical approximate solution of a SIR epidemic model with constant vaccination strategy by homotopy perturbation method. Kybernetes, 38(9), 1566–1575.