American Journal of Computer Science and Quantum Technologies
ISSN: - applied

Quantum Technology Trajectories, Artificial Intelligence Integration, and Patent Network Dynamics in the Global Innovation System

[1] Hiroshi Tanaka
[1] Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Barcelona, Spain

Received: 05th Nov 2025 | Received Revised Version: 13th Nov 2025 | Accepted: 23th Nov 2025 | Published: 13th Dec 2025

Volume 01 Issue 02 2025 | Crossref DOI: 10.64917/ajcsqt/V01I02-002

Abstract

Quantum technology has entered a decisive stage of its historical evolution, often referred to as the second quantum revolution, characterized not only by fundamental breakthroughs in quantum physics but also by the rapid translation of these discoveries into industrial and commercial applications. The emergence of quantum computing, quantum communication, quantum sensing, and quantum artificial intelligence has reshaped innovation systems across the world, generating unprecedented interactions between public research institutions, private firms, national innovation policies, and global markets. This article provides a comprehensive and theoretically grounded analysis of the evolution of quantum technology by integrating patent network analysis, economic theories of technological change, and scientometric models of knowledge production. Building on recent empirical and conceptual studies, this research interprets quantum technology not as an isolated scientific field but as a complex techno social system embedded within artificial intelligence, healthcare, digital platforms, and regulatory frameworks.

The study develops an integrated framework that connects patent network structures, research funding mechanisms, and interdisciplinary knowledge flows to the observed acceleration of quantum technology development. Drawing on the insights of Jiang and Chen on patent landscapes, McKinsey on industrial scaling, and Coccia on technological evolution and research variability, the article explains how quantum technologies follow nonlinear and path dependent trajectories shaped by competitive substitution, public private research interactions, and the probabilistic nature of scientific discovery. Theoretical arguments from scientometrics and innovation studies are used to show how patent citations, co citation networks, and core documents reveal the emergence of dominant quantum paradigms and technological bottlenecks.

A central contribution of this research is the demonstration that artificial intelligence and quantum science form a mutually reinforcing system of co evolution, in which each technology amplifies the discovery, diffusion, and application potential of the other. Studies on quantum optical neural networks, smart healthcare, and hybrid intelligence illustrate how these technologies jointly redefine industrial competitiveness and social welfare. Furthermore, legal and regulatory analyses are incorporated to show how privacy, governance, and intellectual property regimes are becoming critical determinants of the future quantum economy.

Keywords: Quantum technology, patent networks, artificial intelligence, technological evolution, innovation systems, scientometrics.

Cite This Article: Hiroshi Tanaka. 2025. Quantum Technology Trajectories, Artificial Intelligence Integration, and Patent Network Dynamics in the Global Innovation System. American Journal of Computer Science and Quantum Technologies 1, 02, 8-13. https://doi.org/10.64917/ajcsqt/V01I02-002

1. Introduction

Quantum technology represents one of the most transformative technological paradigms of the twenty first century, redefining the boundaries of computation, communication, sensing, and information processing. The second quantum revolution, as conceptualized by Dowling and Milburn, refers to the stage at which quantum mechanical principles are no longer only tools for understanding nature but become direct instruments for engineering technological systems that surpass classical limits (Dowling and Milburn, 2003). Unlike previous general purpose technologies such as electricity or digital computing, quantum technology is intrinsically rooted in scientific uncertainty, probabilistic behavior, and the manipulation of information at the level of elementary particles. This foundational difference has profound implications for how innovation occurs, how knowledge diffuses, and how markets and institutions respond to technological change.

The contemporary quantum landscape is characterized by a dense and rapidly expanding ecosystem of actors that include universities, public research laboratories, multinational corporations, start ups, venture capital, and national governments. Patent data reveal that quantum related inventions are no longer confined to niche academic laboratories but are increasingly embedded in sectors such as healthcare, telecommunications, cybersecurity, artificial intelligence, and advanced manufacturing (Jiang and Chen, 2021). At the same time, policy reports indicate that quantum technology is becoming a strategic priority for industrial competitiveness and national security, as shown by the global investment patterns analyzed in the McKinsey Quantum Technology Monitor (McKinsey, 2023).

Despite this growing importance, the scientific literature on quantum technology has often been fragmented across disciplines. Physicists tend to focus on experimental feasibility and theoretical models, while economists and innovation scholars emphasize market potential, intellectual property, and industrial policy. There is still a significant gap in understanding how quantum technology evolves as a techno social system, how it interacts with artificial intelligence and digital platforms, and how knowledge production is shaped by research funding, institutional structures, and interdisciplinary dynamics. Previous studies have examined patent networks, technological trajectories, and innovation performance separately, but few have integrated these perspectives into a coherent analytical framework.

The literature on technological evolution provides valuable insights into this challenge. Sahal described innovation as a process characterized by patterns, bottlenecks, and cumulative learning, rather than a sequence of isolated breakthroughs (Sahal, 1981). Magee and colleagues empirically demonstrated that technological performance follows quantifiable trends that reflect underlying design principles and resource constraints (Magee et al., 2016). Coccia further extended these ideas by developing a general theory of scientific and technological variability, arguing that innovation trajectories are shaped by probabilistic discovery processes, institutional competition, and the interaction between basic and applied research (Coccia, 2024). These theories are particularly relevant for quantum technology, where uncertainty and interdisciplinarity play a central role.

Another crucial dimension is the role of knowledge networks and intellectual property. Jaffe and Trajtenberg showed that patent citations provide a window into the knowledge economy, revealing how ideas flow between inventors, firms, and regions (Jaffe and Trajtenberg, 2002). Building on this foundation, Jiang and Chen demonstrated that patent network analysis can map the technological landscapes of quantum innovation, identifying clusters, hubs, and emerging subfields (Jiang and Chen, 2021). Such analyses are essential for understanding not only who leads in quantum technology but also how technological paradigms emerge and compete.

Artificial intelligence adds a further layer of complexity. Recent research indicates that AI is not merely an application domain for quantum computing but also a driver of quantum discovery itself. Hybrid systems such as quantum optical neural networks illustrate how AI algorithms can be implemented on quantum hardware to solve complex optimization and pattern recognition problems in healthcare and other sectors (Zhou et al., 2024). At the same time, legal and regulatory studies emphasize that the convergence of AI, quantum computing, and the Internet of Things raises unprecedented challenges for privacy, security, and governance (Dong and Chen, 2024).

The central problem addressed in this article is therefore how to conceptualize and analyze the evolution of quantum technology in a way that integrates technological, economic, and knowledge based dimensions. The research gap lies in the lack of a unified framework that connects patent networks, research funding, interdisciplinarity, and technological performance in the quantum domain. By drawing on a comprehensive set of references from innovation theory, scientometrics, and quantum engineering, this study seeks to fill this gap and provide a holistic interpretation of quantum technology as a dynamic and co evolving system.

2. Methodology

The methodological foundation of this study is based on an integrative analytical approach that combines patent network analysis, scientometric theory, and economic models of technological change. Rather than relying on numerical modeling or statistical estimation, the methodology is grounded in qualitative and conceptual synthesis of established research frameworks and empirical findings reported in the literature. This approach is particularly suitable for quantum technology, where the complexity and novelty of the field make purely quantitative generalization difficult.

Patent network analysis is used as the primary lens for observing technological evolution. Patents represent codified technological knowledge that is both legally protected and publicly disclosed, making them a critical data source for tracing innovation trajectories (Jaffe and Trajtenberg, 2002). Jiang and Chen demonstrated that by constructing networks in which patents are nodes and citations are links, it is possible to identify technological clusters, emerging paradigms, and dominant actors in quantum technology (Jiang and Chen, 2021). In this study, these insights are used to conceptually map how quantum inventions are interconnected and how knowledge flows across subfields such as quantum computing, quantum communication, and quantum sensing.

Scientometric principles further inform the analysis of knowledge dynamics. Co citation analysis, originally developed by Small, reveals how scientific documents are intellectually related through shared references (Small, 1973). When applied to patents and scientific publications, co citation patterns indicate the formation of research fronts and technological trajectories. Glanzel and Thijs introduced the concept of core documents, which are highly cited works that anchor emerging topics and provide labels for new research areas (Glanzel and Thijs, 2011). By integrating these ideas, the methodology interprets quantum technology as a constellation of evolving research fronts that are stabilized through citation practices and institutional recognition.

Interdisciplinarity is another key methodological dimension. Leydesdorff and Rafols, as well as Silva and colleagues, developed indicators to quantify how scientific fields combine diverse knowledge bases (Leydesdorff and Rafols, 2011; Silva et al., 2013). Although this study does not compute numerical indicators, it adopts their conceptual framework to analyze how quantum technology integrates physics, computer science, engineering, medicine, and law. This interdisciplinary perspective is essential for understanding why quantum innovation often occurs at the boundaries between established disciplines.

Economic theories of research funding and productivity provide the third methodological pillar. Coccia and collaborators have shown that the relationship between R and D investment and scientific output is nonlinear and mediated by institutional structures and competition between basic and applied research (Coccia, 2009; Coccia, 2011; Coccia, 2018). Roshani and Mosleh further demonstrated that funding patterns influence not only the quantity of publications but also their citation impact and diffusion (Roshani et al., 2021; Mosleh et al., 2022). These insights are applied to the quantum domain to explain how different funding strategies shape technological leadership and knowledge dissemination.

Finally, the methodology incorporates theories of techno social systems and platform evolution. Vespignani argued that technological systems are embedded in social networks that influence adoption and behavior (Vespignani, 2009). Jovanovic and colleagues showed that digital platforms co evolve with services and governance structures, creating new forms of value creation (Jovanovic et al., 2022). These concepts are extended to quantum technology, which increasingly functions as a platform for AI, healthcare, and digital services.

By synthesizing these methodological perspectives, the study constructs a comprehensive analytical framework that captures the complexity of quantum technology evolution without resorting to reductionist models.

3. Results

The integrative analysis of the literature reveals several fundamental patterns in the evolution of quantum technology. First, patent network structures indicate a rapid diversification of quantum related inventions, with dense clusters emerging around quantum computing architectures, quantum communication protocols, and quantum enhanced sensing technologies (Jiang and Chen, 2021). These clusters are not isolated but interconnected through citation links that reflect shared scientific principles and engineering challenges. This pattern confirms Sahal’s theory that technological innovation follows cumulative and path dependent trajectories, where new designs build on established knowledge bases (Sahal, 1981).

Second, the interaction between artificial intelligence and quantum technology emerges as a central driver of innovation. Studies on quantum optical neural networks in healthcare demonstrate that quantum hardware can implement AI algorithms with higher efficiency and lower energy consumption than classical systems, enabling new forms of medical diagnosis and data analysis (Zhou et al., 2024). At the same time, AI tools are increasingly used to optimize quantum experiments, control quantum devices, and analyze complex datasets generated by quantum sensors. This mutual reinforcement supports the concept of co evolutionary hybrid intelligence proposed by Krinkin and colleagues, in which human, artificial, and quantum intelligence form an integrated system of knowledge production (Krinkin et al., 2022).

Third, economic analyses show that quantum technology development is strongly influenced by research funding and institutional arrangements. Nations and organizations that invest strategically in both basic quantum research and applied engineering achieve higher rates of patenting, citation impact, and technological diffusion (Coccia, 2009; Roshani et al., 2021). This finding aligns with Coccia’s law of variability, which states that diversity in research topics and organizational forms increases the probability of scientific discovery and technological breakthroughs (Coccia, 2023).

Fourth, patent citation networks reveal that a small number of core documents and key inventions play a disproportionate role in shaping quantum trajectories. These core patents function as technological standards and reference points, similar to how core scientific papers anchor emerging research fronts (Glanzel and Thijs, 2011). Their high centrality in the network indicates that innovation in quantum technology is both cumulative and hierarchical, with foundational breakthroughs enabling a wide range of derivative applications.

Finally, legal and regulatory factors are shown to be increasingly important. The convergence of quantum computing, AI, and IoT creates new risks for privacy and data protection, requiring adaptive regulatory frameworks (Dong and Chen, 2024). Patent law, data governance, and cybersecurity policies therefore become integral components of the quantum innovation system, influencing investment decisions and technological design.

4. Discussion

The results highlight the fundamentally systemic nature of quantum technology. Unlike traditional technologies that can be developed and commercialized within relatively stable industrial sectors, quantum technology operates at the intersection of multiple scientific, economic, and institutional domains. This complexity explains both its enormous potential and its high level of uncertainty.

From a theoretical perspective, the co evolution of quantum technology and artificial intelligence illustrates Coccia’s theorem of not independence of technological innovation, which states that no technology evolves in isolation from others (Coccia, 2018). Quantum algorithms depend on AI techniques for optimization, while AI increasingly relies on quantum hardware for computational acceleration. This interdependence creates feedback loops that can accelerate innovation but also amplify systemic risks.

The patent network perspective further suggests that technological leadership in quantum science is not simply a function of who invents first but of who controls the central nodes of the knowledge network. Firms and institutions that hold highly cited patents gain strategic advantages by shaping technological standards and influencing the direction of subsequent research (Jaffe and Trajtenberg, 2002). This dynamic is particularly important in quantum communication and cryptography, where standards determine interoperability and security.

Interdisciplinarity also emerges as a double edged sword. On one hand, the integration of physics, computer science, medicine, and law expands the space of possible innovations. On the other hand, it increases coordination costs and the risk of misalignment between research agendas and market needs. Leydesdorff’s caveats about the use of citation indicators remind us that metrics may fail to capture the true societal value of interdisciplinary research (Leydesdorff, 2007).

The economic implications are equally profound. Coccia’s work on optimal R and D intensity suggests that overinvestment in quantum hype without sufficient absorptive capacity may lead to diminishing returns and innovation failure (Coccia, 2017; Coccia, 2023). Conversely, underinvestment risks losing strategic leadership in a technology that could redefine global competitiveness. Policymakers therefore face a delicate balancing act in designing quantum strategies that support both exploration and exploitation.

5. Conclusion

Quantum technology is not merely a new set of tools but a transformative platform that reshapes the structure of innovation systems. Through the integration of patent networks, artificial intelligence, and interdisciplinary research, quantum science generates new pathways for economic growth, healthcare improvement, and

ISSN: - applied

technological sovereignty. This study has shown that the evolution of quantum technology is driven by complex interactions between knowledge production, institutional design, and techno social dynamics.

By applying theories of technological evolution, scientometrics, and research funding, the article provides a holistic framework for understanding why quantum innovation is both highly promising and inherently uncertain. The convergence of AI and quantum science amplifies discovery potential but also requires new forms of governance and coordination.

Future research should further explore how quantum platforms interact with digital ecosystems, how regulatory regimes adapt to quantum risks, and how emerging economies can participate in the quantum revolution. As quantum technology continues to mature, its trajectory will increasingly reflect not only scientific ingenuity but also the strategic choices of societies and institutions.

References

1. Ardito L, Coccia M, Messeni Petruzzelli A. Technological exaptation and crisis management Evidence from COVID 19 outbreaks. R and D Management. 2021;51:381 to 392.
2. Coccia M. The interaction between public and private R and D expenditure and national productivity. Prometheus Critical Studies in Innovation. 2011;29:121 to 130.
3. Coccia M. What is the optimal rate of R and D investment to maximize productivity growth. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2009;76:433 to 446.
4. Coccia M. Optimization in R and D intensity and tax on corporate profits for supporting labor productivity of nations. Journal of Technology Transfer. 2017;43:792 to 814.
5. Coccia M. Competition between basic and applied research in the organizational behaviour of public research labs. Journal of Economic Library. 2018;5:118 to 133.
6. Coccia M. Theorem of not independence of any technological innovation. Journal of Economic Bibliography. 2018;5:29 to 35.
7. Coccia M. Comparative Institutional Changes. In Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration Public Policy and Governance. Springer. 2019.
8. Coccia M. Evolutionary trajectories of the nanotechnology research across worldwide economic players. Technology Analysis and Strategic Management. 2012;24:1029 to 1050.
9. Coccia M. Promising technologies for fostering simultaneous environmental and socioeconomic sustainability. Journal of Economic and Social Thought. 2023;10:28 to 47.
10. Coccia M. New Directions in Quantum Technologies. Journal of Economic Bibliography. 2022;9:21 to 39.
11. Coccia M. Bolstering effect in the interaction between artificial intelligence and quantum science for scientific and technological development. Advance Preprints. 2024.
12. Coccia M. Law of variability in science driving technological evolution. Preprints. 2023.
13. Coccia M. Variability in Research Topics Driving Different Technological Trajectories. Preprints. 2024.
14. Coccia M. Probability of discoveries between research fields to explain scientific and technological change. Technology in Society. 2022;68:101874.
15. Coccia M. New Perspectives in Innovation Failure Analysis. Technology in Society. 2023;75:102384.
16. Dong S, Chen H. Artificial intelligence and IoT based optical quantum computing application legal implications in privacy and regulatory analysis. Optical and Quantum Electronics. 2024;56:556.
17. Dowling JP, Milburn GJ. Quantum technology The second quantum revolution. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A. 2003;361:1655 to 1674.
18. Glanzel W, Thijs B. Using core documents for detecting and labelling new emerging topics. Scientometrics. 2011;91:399 to 416.
19. Jaffe AB, Trajtenberg M. Patents Citations and Innovations A Window on the Knowledge Economy. MIT Press. 2002.
20. Jiang SY, Chen SL. Exploring landscapes of quantum technology with Patent Network Analysis. Technology Analysis and Strategic Management. 2021;33:1317 to 1331.
21. Jovanovic M, Sjodin D, Parida V. Co evolution of platform architecture platform services and platform governance. Technovation. 2022;118:102218.
22. Krinkin K, Shichkina Y, Ignatyev A. Co evolutionary hybrid intelligence is a key concept for the world intellectualization. Kybernetes. 2022;52:2907 to 2923.
23. Leydesdorff L. Caveats for the use of citation indicators in research and journal evaluations. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology. 2007;59:278 to 287.
24. Leydesdorff L, Rafols I. Indicators of the interdisciplinarity of journals. Journal of Informetrics.

ISSN: - applied

2011;5:87 to 100.
25. Magee C, Basnet S, Funk J, Benson C. Quantitative empirical trends in technical performance. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2016;104:237 to 246.
26. McKinsey. Quantum Technology Monitor. McKinsey and Company. 2023.
27. Mosleh M, Roshani S, Coccia M. Scientific laws of research funding to support citations and diffusion of knowledge in life science. Scientometrics. 2022;127:1931 to 1951.
28. Roshani S, Bagherylooieh MR, Mosleh M, Coccia M. What is the relationship between research funding and citation based performance. Scientometrics. 2021;126:7859 to 7874.
29. Sahal D. Patterns of Technological Innovation. Addison Wesley. 1981.
30. Silva FN, Rodrigues FA, Oliveira ON, Costa LF. Quantifying the interdisciplinarity of scientific journals and fields. Journal of Informetrics. 2013;7:469 to 477.
31. Small H. Co citation in the scientific literature. Journal of the American Society for Information Science. 1973;24:265 to 269.
32. Vespignani A. Predicting the Behavior of Techno Social Systems. Science. 2009;325:425 to 428.
33. Zhou T, Anuradha T, Mahendra SJ, Webber JL, Mehbodniya A, Wang J, Subrahmanyam K. Efficient and economical smart healthcare application based on quantum optical neural network. Optical and Quantum Electronics. 2024;56:445.