

## Exploring Self-Regulated Learning and Language Learning Strategies: Implications for EFL Speaking Proficiency

<sup>1</sup> Jane Marcellus

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Education, University of Toronto Scarborough, Canada

Received: 13<sup>th</sup> Nov 2025 | Received Revised Version: 23<sup>th</sup> Nov 2025 | Accepted: 30<sup>th</sup> Nov 2025 | Published: 21<sup>th</sup> Dec 2025

Volume 01 Issue 02 2025 | Crossref DOI: 10.64917/ajsshr/V01I02-003

### Abstract

*Self-regulated learning (SRL) has emerged as a pivotal construct in contemporary educational research, particularly within the context of language acquisition. This study investigates the intricate interplay between language learning strategies, motivation, and self-regulation, emphasizing their cumulative influence on speaking proficiency among English as a Foreign Language (EFL) learners. Drawing from a synthesis of theoretical frameworks and empirical studies, the research examines cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies, highlighting the nuanced ways in which learners navigate the complexities of oral communication in English. Prior literature underscores the pivotal role of learner autonomy, goal setting, and strategic planning in enhancing speaking efficacy (Abdul Rahman, 2015; Aregu, 2013). Moreover, motivation has been consistently linked to learners' willingness to engage in practice opportunities and overcome affective barriers (Chang & Liu, 2013; Artino & Stephens, 2007). This study adopts a descriptive-analytical approach, integrating qualitative and quantitative perspectives to provide a comprehensive understanding of strategy utilization and self-regulatory behaviors in EFL contexts. The findings elucidate that learners who employ structured self-regulated learning strategies demonstrate higher engagement, increased speaking confidence, and measurable improvements in oral performance. Importantly, the study highlights the significance of feedback mechanisms, reflective practices, and personalized learning pathways as catalysts for sustained improvement. The discussion critically evaluates existing pedagogical approaches, identifies potential limitations in current instructional designs, and proposes future research avenues aimed at optimizing speaking instruction for diverse EFL populations. The implications of this research extend beyond the immediate classroom environment, offering insights for curriculum designers, teacher educators, and policymakers interested in fostering effective language learning ecosystems that integrate cognitive, motivational, and metacognitive dimensions.*

**Keywords:** Self-Regulated Learning, Language Learning Strategies, EFL Speaking Proficiency, Motivation, Cognitive Strategies, Metacognition, Learner Autonomy.

© 2025 Jane Marcellus. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). The authors retain copyright and allow others to share, adapt, or redistribute the work with proper attribution.

**Cite This Article:** Jane Marcellus. 2025. Exploring Self-Regulated Learning and Language Learning Strategies: Implications for EFL Speaking Proficiency. American Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research 1, 02, 11-15. <https://doi.org/10.64917/ajsshr/V01I02-003>

### 1. Introduction

The acquisition of speaking proficiency in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) is a multifaceted process that extends beyond rote memorization or mechanical repetition. Speaking, as a productive skill, encompasses not only linguistic competence but also communicative competence, sociocultural awareness, and strategic interactional abilities

(Celce-Murcia, 2001; Kosar & Bedir, 2014). Despite widespread curricular efforts to enhance oral skills, many learners encounter persistent difficulties, including anxiety, limited vocabulary, lack of opportunities for authentic communication, and insufficient feedback (Nuraini, 2016; Juhana, 2012). These challenges underscore the critical need for approaches that promote learner autonomy,

strategic engagement, and motivational sustainment.

Self-regulated learning (SRL) emerges as a theoretical lens through which educators and researchers can conceptualize and enhance speaking proficiency. SRL refers to learners' capacity to actively monitor, regulate, and adjust their cognitive, affective, and behavioral processes to achieve desired learning outcomes (Brown, Miller, & Lawendowski, 1999; Butler & Winne, 1995). It encompasses goal-setting, strategic planning, self-monitoring, and reflection, all of which are essential for managing the complex cognitive load involved in oral language production (Broadbent & Poon, 2015; Artino & Stephens, 2007). Within the EFL context, SRL strategies can include pre-speaking rehearsal, mental modeling of conversations, self-assessment, and adaptive response to feedback, highlighting the interplay between metacognitive awareness and communicative execution (Aregu, 2013).

The literature indicates a significant correlation between language learning strategies (LLS) and learners' motivation, both of which critically mediate speaking performance (Abdul Rahman, 2015; Chang & Liu, 2013). Language learning strategies, defined as deliberate, goal-directed actions to enhance language acquisition, encompass cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective dimensions (Carson & Longhini, 2002; Celce-Murcia, 2001). Cognitive strategies involve rehearsal, summarization, and elaboration, whereas metacognitive strategies include planning, monitoring, and self-evaluation. Socio-affective strategies, on the other hand, involve social interaction, collaboration, and affective regulation to manage anxiety or increase confidence (Boekaerts, 1996a; Cenoz, 2015). The effective integration of these strategies, coupled with intrinsic and extrinsic motivational factors, significantly impacts learners' ability to engage in sustained, meaningful oral communication.

Despite extensive research on language learning strategies and SRL, gaps persist regarding their combined effect on speaking proficiency, particularly in adult and university-level EFL contexts. Several studies have addressed either motivational factors (Artino & Stephens, 2007) or the impact of SRL on academic outcomes (Broadbent & Poon, 2015), but few have simultaneously considered how strategy use, self-regulation, and motivation interact to facilitate oral skill acquisition. Additionally, there is limited exploration of context-specific barriers, including cultural influences, classroom environment, and learner psychological factors such as anxiety and self-efficacy (Juhana, 2012; Nurmasya, 2014). Addressing these gaps, the present research aims to elucidate the complex

mechanisms through which SRL and language learning strategies converge to enhance speaking performance, offering practical insights for pedagogical interventions.

## 2. Methodology

This study employs a descriptive-analytical methodology that integrates qualitative and quantitative research perspectives to provide a holistic understanding of SRL and language learning strategies in EFL speaking contexts. Participants comprised undergraduate students enrolled in English language courses at a medium-sized public university. The sampling approach adhered to established protocols to ensure representativeness and sufficient statistical power, drawing on recommendations that sample sizes for internet-based surveys should balance practical feasibility with the need for meaningful inference (Hill, 2012). A total of 210 participants, aged 18–24, were included, representing diverse linguistic backgrounds and prior English exposure.

Data collection was conducted through multiple instruments. The Self-Regulation Questionnaire (SRQ) developed by Brown, Miller, and Lawendowski (1999) was employed to assess learners' self-regulatory capacity across cognitive, metacognitive, and behavioral domains. Language Learning Strategy usage was measured using a modified version of the Oxford Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL), adapted for EFL speaking contexts to capture cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategy deployment (Abdul Rahman, 2015; Carson & Longhini, 2002). Motivation was evaluated using a combination of the Language Learning Orientation Scale and a series of task-specific self-report items assessing intrinsic and extrinsic motivational drivers (Chang & Liu, 2013).

Participants engaged in structured speaking tasks designed to simulate authentic communicative scenarios, including presentations, group discussions, and role-plays. Performance was evaluated using a multidimensional rubric assessing fluency, accuracy, coherence, lexical variety, and pragmatic appropriateness (Kosar & Bedir, 2014; Nurmasya, 2014). Additionally, reflective journals were employed to capture participants' metacognitive insights, strategic adjustments, and affective experiences, providing qualitative depth to complement quantitative measures. Feedback was systematically incorporated, following principles articulated by Butler and Winne (1995), to investigate its role in fostering iterative self-regulatory adjustments.

Data analysis involved descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression modeling to examine the relationships among SRL, strategy use, motivation, and speaking performance. Qualitative data from reflective journals were analyzed using thematic coding, highlighting recurrent patterns, contextual influences, and emergent learner strategies. Triangulation of data sources ensured robust interpretation and minimized potential biases inherent in single-method designs (Broadbent & Poon, 2015).

### 3. Results

The analysis revealed a robust positive correlation between self-regulated learning behaviors and speaking proficiency ( $r = .68$ ,  $p < .01$ ), corroborating prior findings on the efficacy of strategic engagement in oral skill development (Aregu, 2013; Broadbent & Poon, 2015). Participants who actively employed metacognitive strategies, such as pre-task planning and post-task reflection, demonstrated higher fluency scores and greater lexical diversity. Cognitive strategies, including rehearsal, paraphrasing, and mnemonic utilization, were particularly associated with improvements in accuracy and coherence. Socio-affective strategies, notably peer collaboration and anxiety regulation techniques, were linked to increased participation frequency and willingness to undertake challenging speaking tasks (Boekaerts, 1996a; Carson & Longhini, 2002).

Motivational factors displayed significant predictive power for both strategy use and speaking performance. Intrinsic motivation, reflecting interest in mastering English and self-improvement goals, was associated with sustained engagement in practice tasks and consistent application of SRL strategies. Extrinsic motivators, such as assessment requirements and social approval, influenced the selection of strategies but had comparatively weaker effects on long-term proficiency gains (Artino & Stephens, 2007; Abdul Rahman, 2015). Notably, learners with high self-efficacy exhibited a synergistic effect, coupling motivational persistence with strategic self-regulation to achieve superior oral outcomes (Chang & Liu, 2013).

Thematic analysis of reflective journals identified several recurrent patterns. First, learners demonstrated an iterative process of monitoring, feedback incorporation, and strategic adjustment. For example, participants frequently noted areas of lexical limitation, subsequently engaging in targeted vocabulary exercises before subsequent speaking tasks. Second, affective regulation emerged as a critical mediator; learners reporting higher anxiety employed strategies such as self-talk, relaxation techniques, and peer

scaffolding to maintain task engagement. Third, context-specific adaptations were evident, with learners tailoring strategies to task type, interlocutor, and perceived social expectations, reflecting sophisticated metacognitive awareness and situational responsiveness (Juhana, 2012; Nuraini, 2016).

### 4. Discussion

The findings substantiate theoretical assertions that self-regulated learning functions as a core mechanism for enhancing EFL speaking proficiency. The positive associations between SRL, strategy use, and motivation underscore the interdependent nature of cognitive, metacognitive, and affective processes in oral skill development. These results corroborate and extend prior research, demonstrating that learners who actively plan, monitor, and evaluate their speaking performance achieve more consistent and measurable improvements (Butler & Winne, 1995; Broadbent & Poon, 2015).

A critical interpretation highlights the importance of integrating SRL strategies into instructional design. Traditional teaching methods, which often emphasize rote practice and passive exposure, may insufficiently support learners' metacognitive and affective needs. In contrast, strategy-based instruction, complemented by scaffolding, guided reflection, and continuous feedback, fosters autonomous, self-directed learning pathways (Kosar & Bedir, 2014; Sunarwan, 2014). Moreover, the results emphasize the role of learner motivation as both a precursor and sustainer of strategic engagement. Pedagogical interventions that cultivate intrinsic interest, goal orientation, and self-efficacy can amplify the effectiveness of SRL strategies, while neglecting motivational factors may limit performance gains despite the provision of strategic tools (Chang & Liu, 2013).

Limitations of the study include its reliance on self-report measures, which may be susceptible to social desirability bias, and the contextual specificity to a single institutional setting. Furthermore, the study's cross-sectional design constrains causal inference, suggesting the need for longitudinal investigations to examine the stability and evolution of SRL and strategy use over time. Despite these limitations, the integration of qualitative and quantitative data provides a nuanced understanding of learners' strategic behaviors and their impact on speaking outcomes.

Future research directions include the exploration of technology-mediated SRL interventions, such as digital feedback platforms, AI-assisted pronunciation tools, and

interactive language applications. Additionally, comparative studies across diverse cultural and linguistic contexts can illuminate the generalizability of findings and inform culturally responsive pedagogical designs. Investigating the interaction between SRL and affective factors, such as language anxiety and self-perception, can further refine instructional strategies to optimize learner engagement and proficiency development (Juhana, 2012; Nurmasya, 2014).

## 5. Conclusion

This study underscores the integral role of self-regulated learning and language learning strategies in fostering EFL speaking proficiency. Empirical evidence demonstrates that cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies, when coupled with intrinsic motivation and self-efficacy, produce measurable gains in fluency, accuracy, and communicative competence. The findings advocate for instructional designs that emphasize strategy instruction, reflective practice, and continuous feedback to support autonomous learning. By addressing the interwoven dimensions of cognition, motivation, and affect, educators can create enriched learning environments that empower learners to navigate the complexities of oral communication with confidence and strategic agility. These insights carry substantial implications for curriculum development, teacher training, and policy formulation, highlighting the necessity of embedding SRL principles within contemporary language education frameworks.

## References

1. Abdul Rahman, N. (2015). The Relationship between Language Learning Strategies and Students' Motivation in Learning English as A Second Language. *Jurnal teknikal Sosial Sains. Journal on Studies in English Language and Literature (IJSELL)*, 5(2), 45-76.
2. Aregu, B. B. (2013). Enhancing self-regulated learning in teaching spoken communication: Does it affect speaking efficacy and performance? *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 10(1), 96–109.
3. Artino, A.R., & Stephens, J.M. (2007). Motivation and Self-Regulation in Online Courses: A Comparative Analysis of Undergraduate and Graduate Students. The Annual Convention of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology Sponsored by the Research and Theory Division.
4. Boekaerts, M. (1996a). Coping with stress in childhood and adolescence. In M. Zeidner & N. S. Endler (Eds.), *Handbook of Coping: Theories, Research, Application* (pp. 452–484). New York, NY: Wiley.
5. Broadbent, J., & Poon, W. L. (2015). Self-Regulated Learning Strategies & Academic Achievement in Online Higher Education Learning Environments: A Systematic Review. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 27, 1-13.
6. Brown, J. M., Miller, W. R., & Lawendowski, L. A. (1999). The self-regulation questionnaire. In L. Vande Creek & T. L. Jackson (Eds.), *Innovations in clinical practice: A source book* (pp. 281–292). FL: Professional Resource Press/Professional Resource Exchange.
7. Butler, D. L., & Winne, P. H. (1995). Feedback and self-regulated learning: a theoretical synthesis. *Revolution in Education Research*, 65, 245–281. doi: 10.3102/0034654 3065003245
8. Carson, J. G., & Longhini, A. (2002). Focusing on learning styles and strategies: A study in an immersion setting. *Language Learning*, 52, 401-438.
9. Celce-Murcia, M. (2001). Language Teaching Approaches: an Overview. In M. Celce-Murcia (Ed.), *Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language* (3rd Ed.). Boston: Heinle & Heinle.
10. Chang, C.H., & Liu, H.J. (2013). Language learning strategy use and language learning motivation of Taiwanese EFL university students. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 10, 196–209.
11. Cenoz, J. (2015). Content based instruction and language integrated learning: the same different? *Journal of Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 28(1), 8-24. doi: 10.1080/2014.1000922
12. Hill, R. (2012). What sample size is enough in internet survey research? *Journal of Interpersonal Computing and Technology*, 6(3–4). Retrieved from <http://www.dx.org.researchgate.net>
13. Juhana. (2012). Psychological factors that hinder students from speaking in English class. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 12, 2222–1735. Retrieved from <http://www.iiste.org>
14. Kosar, G., & Bedir, H. (2014). Strategies based instruction: a means of improving adult EFL learners' speaking skill. *Journal of Language Academy*, 12, 2342–0251. Retrieved from <http://www.doi.org>
15. Khairuddin. (2005). The effectiveness of discussion method toward speaking skill for the second grade students of SMPN 1 Praya Lombok Tengah in the school year 2004/2005. Unpublished undergraduate thesis of STKIP Hamzanwadi Selong.
16. Moedjito. (2016). Basic statistic for research in

language education. Selong: Yuma Pustaka.

17. Nuraini, K. (2016). The barriers of teaching speaking English for EFL learners. *Journal of English, Language, Literature and Teaching*, 14, 2528–0066. Retrieved from <http://www.journalecth.ac.id>
18. Nurmasya', Anita. (2014). *Improving the speaking ability*. Yogyakarta: Yogyakarta University Press.
19. Sunarwan, Aisyah. (2014). The effectiveness of content based instruction to teach speaking viewed from students' creativity, 3(1), 2089–3345. Retrieved from <http://www.ccsnet.org/journal/indexphp/elt/articl7125>

2